

## **Committee: Healthier Communities and Older People Overview and Scrutiny Committee**

**Date: 9<sup>th</sup> February 2016**

Agenda item:

Wards: ALL

### **Subject: Urogynaecology Services at St Georges University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust**

Lead officer: Miles Scott, Chief Executive, St George's University Hospital NHS Trust

Lead member: Councillor Peter McCabe, Chair of the Healthier Communities and Older People overview and scrutiny panel.

Contact officer: Stella Akintan, [stella.akintan@merton.gov.uk](mailto:stella.akintan@merton.gov.uk); 020 8545 3390

---

#### **Recommendations:**

- A. Panel are asked to comment on the plans for Urogynaecology services at St George's and the concerns raised by staff and service users about the closure plans and consultation process.
  - B.
- 

#### **1 PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

- 1.1. The Representatives from St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust will outline their plans for Urogynaecology services and the impact this will have on the residents of Merton. Representatives of the clinicians and service users will also address the Panel to set out their concerns. Both reports are attached.

#### **2 ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

The Healthier Communities and Older People Overview and Scrutiny Panel can select topics for scrutiny review and for other scrutiny work as it sees fit, taking into account views and suggestions from officers, partner organisations and the public.

Cabinet is constitutionally required to receive, consider and respond to scrutiny recommendations within two months of receiving them at a meeting.

- 2.1. Cabinet is not, however, required to agree and implement recommendations from Overview and Scrutiny. Cabinet could agree to implement some, or none, of the recommendations made in the scrutiny review final report.

#### **3 CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED**

- 3.1. The Panel will be consulted at the meeting

#### **4 TIMETABLE**

- 4.1. The Panel will consider important items as they arise as part of their work programme for 2016/17

**5 FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS**

5.1. None relating to this covering report

**6 LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS**

6.1. None relating to this covering report. Scrutiny work involves consideration of the legal and statutory implications of the topic being scrutinised.

**7 HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS**

7.1. It is a fundamental aim of the scrutiny process to ensure that there is full and equal access to the democratic process through public involvement and engaging with local partners in scrutiny reviews. Furthermore, the outcomes of reviews are intended to benefit all sections of the local community.

**8 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS**

8.1. None relating to this covering report. Scrutiny work involves consideration of the crime and disorder implications of the topic being scrutinised.

**9 RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS**

9.1. None relating to this covering report

**10 APPENDICES – THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE TO BE PUBLISHED WITH THIS REPORT AND FORM PART OF THE REPORT**

- 

**11 BACKGROUND PAPERS**

11.1.